

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,882	02/05/2004	Adam William Saxler	5308-389	8522
20792 7590	12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
	. SIBLEY & SAJOVI	JACKSON JR, JEROME		
PO BOX 37428				
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	. Applicant(s)		
		10/772,882	SAXLER, ADAM W	XLER, ADAM WILLIAM	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815		
Period for  A SH WHIC  Exte after If NO Failu Any earn  Status  1)	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be adequated by the Office later than three months after the may be adequated by the Office later than three months after the may be adequated by the Office later than three months after the may be adequated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than three months after the may be approximately stated by the Office later than the may be approximately stated by the Office later than the may be approximately stated by the Office later than the may be approximately stated by the Office later than the may be approximately stat	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al illing date of this communication, even if	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	DAYS,	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	·		
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-30 is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 and 31-40 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and isome Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filled on 05 February 2004 is/applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration.  I/or election requirement.  ner.  are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□  ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).	
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National St	tage	
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date 2/5/4,7/2/4,8/30/4, 1/27/5, 10/2	Paper No( 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 Part of Paper No./Mail D		

Claims 21-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/20/05. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20,31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's prior art admissions (figures 14 and 15) "APA" or Adesida et al in view of Chen et al, Wang et al, and Eastman '680.

According to "APA" and Adesida GaN based HEMTs are desirable for high temperature, high frequency, and high power properties compared with GaAs or InP based devices.

"APA" and Adesida describe HEMT devices formed from the AlGaInN material system. The difference between "APA" and Adesida and applicant is the inclusion of an energy barrier to improve device performance. This difference is not patentable as these energy barriers were known and practiced in other HEMT devices and it would have been prima facie obvious to have practiced such energy barriers in AlGaInN based HEMT devices for the same advantages. See Chen, Wang and Eastman where energy barriers are practiced for better carrier confinement, better breakdown, and improved device performance. See particularly Chen figure 1 where an n+/i/p+ "energy barrier"

Art Unit: 2815

structure is below the channel region. In regard to claims reciting depletion of the energy barrier and "proximity" of n and p layers see Eastman.

The limitations in dependent claims that are not expressly disclosed in the applied art are considered inherent or obvious to those of ordinary skill. For example the limitations of "about 0.5V", "about 1V" or "about 2V" are considered inherent or obvious device design to one of ordinary skill. Any implied or recited material compositions regarding AllnGaN are considered inherent or obvious design choices over Adesida and the applied art to one of ordinary skill in the art as the recited compositions are broadly claimed.

Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chang et al JJAP 6/03.

Chang shows an AlGaN based HEMT with an energy barrier of Mg doped GaN.

Claims 31 and 32 are broad and do not structurally distinguish over Chang.

Claims 1-20 and 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA or Adesida with Chen, Wang, and Eastman, above, and further in view of Chang.

In cumulative support of the suggestion of an "energy barrier" for GaN based devices, Chang shows a p-doped energy barrier in a GaN based device. Together the references suggest a p+/n+ type energy barrier for better carrier confinement, power advantages etc.

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER